## Example 2.14

## **The Unit Vector of Direction**

If the velocity vector of the military convoy in **Example 2.8** is  $\vec{\mathbf{v}} = (4.000\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 3.000\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + 0.100\,\hat{\mathbf{k}})\text{km/h}$ , what is the unit vector of its direction of motion?

#### Strategy

The unit vector of the convoy's direction of motion is the unit vector  $\mathbf{\hat{v}}$  that is parallel to the velocity vector. The unit vector is obtained by dividing a vector by its magnitude, in accordance with **Equation 2.26**.

#### Solution

The magnitude of the vector  $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{v}}$  is

$$v = \sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2} = \sqrt{4.000^2 + 3.000^2 + 0.100^2}$$
 km/h = 5.001 km/h

To obtain the unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ , divide  $\vec{\mathbf{v}}$  by its magnitude:

$$\hat{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}{v} = \frac{(4.000\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 3.000\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + 0.100\,\hat{\mathbf{k}})\mathrm{km/h}}{5.001\,\mathrm{km/h}}$$
$$= \frac{(4.000\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 3.000\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + 0.100\,\hat{\mathbf{k}})}{5.001}$$
$$= \frac{4.000\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + \frac{3.000}{5.001}\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + \frac{0.100\,\hat{\mathbf{k}}}{5.001}$$
$$= (79.98\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 59.99\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + 2.00\,\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \times 10^{-2}.$$

## Significance

Note that when using the analytical method with a calculator, it is advisable to carry out your calculations to at least three decimal places and then round off the final answer to the required number of significant figures, which is the way we performed calculations in this example. If you round off your partial answer too early, you risk your final answer having a huge numerical error, and it may be far off from the exact answer or from a value measured in an experiment.



**2.10** Check Your Understanding Verify that vector  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$  obtained in Example 2.14 is indeed a unit vector by computing its magnitude. If the convoy in Example 2.8 was moving across a desert flatland—that is, if the third component of its velocity was zero—what is the unit vector of its direction of motion? Which geographic direction does it represent?

# 2.4 **Products of Vectors**

# Learning Objectives

By the end of this section, you will be able to:

- Explain the difference between the scalar product and the vector product of two vectors.
- · Determine the scalar product of two vectors.
- Determine the vector product of two vectors.
- · Describe how the products of vectors are used in physics.

A vector can be multiplied by another vector but may not be divided by another vector. There are two kinds of products of vectors used broadly in physics and engineering. One kind of multiplication is a *scalar multiplication of two vectors*.

Taking a scalar product of two vectors results in a number (a scalar), as its name indicates. Scalar products are used to define work and energy relations. For example, the work that a force (a vector) performs on an object while causing its displacement (a vector) is defined as a scalar product of the force vector with the displacement vector. A quite different kind of multiplication is a *vector multiplication of vectors*. Taking a vector product of two vectors returns as a result a vector, as its name suggests. Vector products are used to define other derived vector quantities. For example, in describing rotations, a vector quantity called *torque* is defined as a vector product of an applied force (a vector) and its distance from pivot to force (a vector). It is important to distinguish between these two kinds of vector multiplications because the scalar product is a scalar quantity and a vector product is a vector quantity.

# The Scalar Product of Two Vectors (the Dot Product)

Scalar multiplication of two vectors yields a scalar product.

```
Scalar Product (Dot Product)
```

The scalar product  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$  of two vectors  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$  is a number defined by the equation  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = AB \cos \varphi$ , (2.27)

where  $\varphi$  is the angle between the vectors (shown in **Figure 2.27**). The scalar product is also called the **dot product** because of the dot notation that indicates it.

In the definition of the dot product, the direction of angle  $\varphi$  does not matter, and  $\varphi$  can be measured from either of the two vectors to the other because  $\cos \varphi = \cos (-\varphi) = \cos (2\pi - \varphi)$ . The dot product is a negative number when  $90^{\circ} < \varphi \le 180^{\circ}$  and is a positive number when  $0^{\circ} \le \varphi < 90^{\circ}$ . Moreover, the dot product of two parallel vectors is  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = AB \cos 0^{\circ} = AB$ , and the dot product of two antiparallel vectors is  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = AB \cos 180^{\circ} = -AB$ . The scalar product of two *orthogonal vectors* vanishes:  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} = AB \cos 90^{\circ} = 0$ . The scalar product of a vector with itself is the square of its magnitude:



Figure 2.27 The scalar product of two vectors. (a) The angle between the two vectors. (b) The orthogonal projection  $A_{\perp}$  of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$  onto the direction of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{B}}$ . (c) The orthogonal projection  $B_{\perp}$  of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{B}}$  onto the direction of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$ .

# Example 2.15

## **The Scalar Product**

For the vectors shown in **Figure 2.13**, find the scalar product  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{F}$ .

#### Strategy

From **Figure 2.13**, the magnitudes of vectors  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{F}$  are A = 10.0 and F = 20.0. Angle  $\theta$ , between them, is the difference:  $\theta = \varphi - \alpha = 110^{\circ} - 35^{\circ} = 75^{\circ}$ . Substituting these values into **Equation 2.27** gives the scalar product.

#### Solution

A straightforward calculation gives us

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{F}} = AF \cos \theta = (10.0)(20.0) \cos 75^\circ = 51.76.$$

<sup>2.11</sup> Check Your Understanding For the vectors given in Figure 2.13, find the scalar products  $\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B}$  and  $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{C}$ .

In the Cartesian coordinate system, scalar products of the unit vector of an axis with other unit vectors of axes always vanish because these unit vectors are orthogonal:

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}} = \left| \hat{\mathbf{i}} \right| \left| \hat{\mathbf{j}} \right| \cos 90^{\circ} = (1)(1)(0) = 0,$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} = \left| \hat{\mathbf{i}} \right| \left| \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right| \cos 90^{\circ} = (1)(1)(0) = 0,$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}} = \left| \hat{\mathbf{k}} \right| \left| \hat{\mathbf{j}} \right| \cos 90^{\circ} = (1)(1)(0) = 0.$$
(2.29)

In these equations, we use the fact that the magnitudes of all unit vectors are one:  $\begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{i}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{j}} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{j}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{j}} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ \hat{\mathbf{k}} \end{vmatrix} = 1$ . For unit vectors of the axes, **Equation 2.28** gives the following identities:

 $\hat{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}} = i^2 = \hat{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}} = j^2 = \hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} = k^2 = 1.$ (2.30)

The scalar product  $\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}}$  can also be interpreted as either the product of *B* with the orthogonal projection  $A_{\perp}$  of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$  onto the direction of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{B}}$  (Figure 2.27(b)) or the product of *A* with the orthogonal projection  $B_{\perp}$  of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{B}}$  onto the direction of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{A}}$  (Figure 2.27(c)):

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = AB\cos\varphi$$
  
=  $B(A\cos\varphi) = BA_{\perp}$   
=  $A(B\cos\varphi) = AB_{\perp}$ 

For example, in the rectangular coordinate system in a plane, the scalar *x*-component of a vector is its dot product with the unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ , and the scalar *y*-component of a vector is its dot product with the unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ :

$$\left\{ \vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}} = \left| \vec{\mathbf{A}} \right\| \hat{\mathbf{i}} \right| \cos \theta_A = A \cos \theta_A = A_x \left| \vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}} \right| = \left| \vec{\mathbf{A}} \right\| \hat{\mathbf{j}} \cos (90^\circ - \theta_A) = A \sin \theta_A = A_y$$

Scalar multiplication of vectors is commutative,

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = \vec{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{A}} , \qquad (2.31)$$

and obeys the distributive law:

$$\vec{A} \cdot (\vec{B} + \vec{C}) = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} + \vec{A} \cdot \vec{C}$$
 (2.32)

We can use the commutative and distributive laws to derive various relations for vectors, such as expressing the dot product of two vectors in terms of their scalar components.

**2.12** Check Your Understanding For vector  $\vec{\mathbf{A}} = A_x \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} + A_y \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} + A_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}}$  in a rectangular coordinate system, use Equation 2.29 through Equation 2.32 to show that  $\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{i}} = A_x \quad \vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{j}} = A_y$  and  $\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} = A_z$ .

When the vectors in **Equation 2.27** are given in their vector component forms,

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} = A_x \hat{\mathbf{i}} + A_y \hat{\mathbf{j}} + A_z \hat{\mathbf{k}} \text{ and } \vec{\mathbf{B}} = B_x \hat{\mathbf{i}} + B_y \hat{\mathbf{j}} + B_z \hat{\mathbf{k}},$$

we can compute their scalar product as follows:

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = (A_x \, \vec{\mathbf{i}} + A_y \, \vec{\mathbf{j}} + A_z \, \vec{\mathbf{k}}) \cdot (B_x \, \vec{\mathbf{i}} + B_y \, \vec{\mathbf{j}} + B_z \, \vec{\mathbf{k}})$$

$$= A_x B_x \, \vec{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{i}} + A_x B_y \, \vec{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{j}} + A_x B_z \, \vec{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$+ A_y B_x \, \vec{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{i}} + A_y B_y \, \vec{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{j}} + A_y B_z \, \vec{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$+ A_z B_x \, \vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{i}} + A_z B_y \, \vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{j}} + A_z B_z \, \vec{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{k}}.$$

Since scalar products of two different unit vectors of axes give zero, and scalar products of unit vectors with themselves give one (see **Equation 2.29** and **Equation 2.30**), there are only three nonzero terms in this expression. Thus, the scalar product simplifies to

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = A_x B_x + A_y B_y + A_z B_z.$$
(2.33)

We can use **Equation 2.33** for the scalar product in terms of scalar components of vectors to find the angle between two vectors. When we divide **Equation 2.27** by *AB*, we obtain the equation for  $\cos \varphi$ , into which we substitute **Equation 2.33**:

$$\cos\varphi = \frac{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{B}}}{AB} = \frac{A_x B_x + A_y B_y + A_z B_z}{AB}.$$
(2.34)

Angle  $\varphi$  between vectors  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$  is obtained by taking the inverse cosine of the expression in **Equation 2.34**.

## Example 2.16

#### Angle between Two Forces

Three dogs are pulling on a stick in different directions, as shown in Figure 2.28. The first dog pulls with

force  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_1 = (10.0\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} - 20.4\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + 2.0\,\hat{\mathbf{k}})N$ , the second dog pulls with force  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_2 = (-15.0\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} - 6.2\,\hat{\mathbf{k}})N$ , and the third dog pulls with force  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_3 = (5.0\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 12.5\,\hat{\mathbf{j}})N$ . What is the angle between forces  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_1$  and  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_2$ ?



Figure 2.28 Three dogs are playing with a stick.

#### Strategy

The components of force vector  $\vec{F}_1$  are  $F_{1x} = 10.0 \text{ N}$ ,  $F_{1y} = -20.4 \text{ N}$ , and  $F_{1z} = 2.0 \text{ N}$ , whereas those of force vector  $\vec{F}_2$  are  $F_{2x} = -15.0 \text{ N}$ ,  $F_{2y} = 0.0 \text{ N}$ , and  $F_{2z} = -6.2 \text{ N}$ . Computing the scalar product of these vectors and their magnitudes, and substituting into **Equation 2.34** gives the angle of interest. **Solution** 

The magnitudes of forces  $\vec{F}_1$  and  $\vec{F}_2$  are

$$F_1 = \sqrt{F_{1x}^2 + F_{1y}^2 + F_{1z}^2} = \sqrt{10.0^2 + 20.4^2 + 2.0^2}$$
 N = 22.8 N

and

$$F_2 = \sqrt{F_{2x}^2 + F_{2y}^2 + F_{2z}^2} = \sqrt{15.0^2 + 6.2^2}$$
 N = 16.2 N.

Substituting the scalar components into Equation 2.33 yields the scalar product

$$\vec{\mathbf{F}}_{1} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{F}}_{2} = F_{1x}F_{2x} + F_{1y}F_{2y} + F_{1z}F_{2z}$$
  
= (10.0 N)(-15.0 N) + (-20.4 N)(0.0 N) + (2.0 N)(-6.2 N)  
= -162.4 N<sup>2</sup>.

Finally, substituting everything into Equation 2.34 gives the angle

$$\cos\varphi = \frac{\vec{\mathbf{F}}_{1} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{F}}_{2}}{F_{1}F_{2}} = \frac{-162.4\,\text{N}^{2}}{(22.8\,\text{N})(16.2\,\text{N})} = -0.439 \Rightarrow \varphi = \cos^{-1}(-0.439) = 116.0^{\circ}.$$

## Significance

Notice that when vectors are given in terms of the unit vectors of axes, we can find the angle between them without knowing the specifics about the geographic directions the unit vectors represent. Here, for example, the +x-direction might be to the east and the +y-direction might be to the north. But, the angle between the forces in the problem is the same if the +x-direction is to the west and the +y-direction is to the south.

# Example 2.17

## The Work of a Force

When force  $\vec{F}$  pulls on an object and when it causes its displacement  $\vec{D}$ , we say the force performs work. The amount of work the force does is the scalar product  $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{D}$ . If the stick in **Example 2.16** moves momentarily and gets displaced by vector  $\vec{D} = (-7.9\,\hat{j} - 4.2\,\hat{k})$  cm, how much work is done by the third dog in **Example 2.16**?

## Strategy

We compute the scalar product of displacement vector  $\vec{\mathbf{D}}$  with force vector  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_3 = (5.0 \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} + 12.5 \, \hat{\mathbf{j}})$ N, which is the pull from the third dog. Let's use  $W_3$  to denote the work done by force  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}_3$  on displacement  $\vec{\mathbf{D}}$ .

## Solution

Calculating the work is a straightforward application of the dot product:

$$W_3 = \mathbf{F}_3 \cdot \mathbf{D} = F_{3x}D_x + F_{3y}D_y + F_{3z}D_z$$
  
= (5.0 N)(0.0 cm) + (12.5 N)(-7.9 cm) + (0.0 N)(-4.2 cm)  
= -98.7 N \cdot cm.

#### Significance

The SI unit of work is called the joule (J), where 1 J = 1 N · m. The unit cm · N can be written as  $10^{-2}$  m · N =  $10^{-2}$  J, so the answer can be expressed as  $W_3 = -0.9875$  J  $\approx -1.0$  J.

**2.14** Check Your Understanding How much work is done by the first dog and by the second dog in **Example 2.16** on the displacement in **Example 2.17**?

# The Vector Product of Two Vectors (the Cross Product)

Vector multiplication of two vectors yields a vector product.

## **Vector Product (Cross Product)**

The **vector product** of two vectors  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$  is denoted by  $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$  and is often referred to as a **cross product**. The vector product is a vector that has its direction perpendicular to both vectors  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$ . In other words, vector  $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$  is perpendicular to the plane that contains vectors  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$ , as shown in Figure 2.29. The magnitude of the vector product is defined as

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}} = AB \sin \varphi,$$
 (2.35)

where angle  $\varphi$ , between the two vectors, is measured from vector  $\vec{A}$  (first vector in the product) to vector  $\vec{B}$  (second vector in the product), as indicated in **Figure 2.29**, and is between 0° and 180°.



According to **Equation 2.35**, the vector product vanishes for pairs of vectors that are either parallel ( $\varphi = 0^{\circ}$ ) or antiparallel ( $\varphi = 180^{\circ}$ ) because  $\sin 0^{\circ} = \sin 180^{\circ} = 0$ .



and between **B** and **C** so that if **A** and **B** lie on the floc vector  $\vec{C}$  points vertically upward to the ceiling. (b) The vector product  $\vec{B} \times \vec{A}$  is a vector antiparallel to vector  $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$ .

On the line perpendicular to the plane that contains vectors  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$  there are two alternative directions—either up or down, as shown in Figure 2.29—and the direction of the vector product may be either one of them. In the standard righthanded orientation, where the angle between vectors is measured counterclockwise from the first vector, vector  $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$ points *upward*, as seen in Figure 2.29(a). If we reverse the order of multiplication, so that now  $\vec{B}$  comes first in the product, then vector  $\vec{B} \times \vec{A}$  must point *downward*, as seen in Figure 2.29(b). This means that vectors  $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$ and  $\vec{B} \times \vec{A}$  are *antiparallel* to each other and that vector multiplication is *not* commutative but *anticommutative*. The **anticommutative property** means the vector product reverses the sign when the order of multiplication is reversed:

$$\vec{A} \times \vec{B} = -\vec{B} \times \vec{A} .$$
(2.36)

The **corkscrew right-hand rule** is a common mnemonic used to determine the direction of the vector product. As shown in **Figure 2.30**, a corkscrew is placed in a direction perpendicular to the plane that contains vectors  $\vec{A}$  and  $\vec{B}$ , and its handle is turned in the direction from the first to the second vector in the product. The direction of the cross product is given by the progression of the corkscrew.



, and turn it in the direction from the first to the second vector in the product. The direction of the cross product is given by the progression of the corkscrew. (a) Upward movement means the cross-product vector points up. (b) Downward movement means the cross-product vector points downward.

# Example 2.18

#### The Torque of a Force

The mechanical advantage that a familiar tool called a *wrench* provides (**Figure 2.31**) depends on magnitude *F* of the applied force, on its direction with respect to the wrench handle, and on how far from the nut this force is applied. The distance *R* from the nut to the point where force vector  $\vec{F}$  is attached is represented by the radial vector  $\vec{R}$ . The physical vector quantity that makes the nut turn is called *torque* (denoted by  $\vec{\tau}$ ), and it is the vector product of the distance between the pivot to force with the force:  $\vec{\tau} = \vec{R} \times \vec{F}$ .

To loosen a rusty nut, a 20.00-N force is applied to the wrench handle at angle  $\varphi = 40^{\circ}$  and at a distance of 0.25 m from the nut, as shown in **Figure 2.31**(a). Find the magnitude and direction of the torque applied to the nut. What would the magnitude and direction of the torque be if the force were applied at angle  $\varphi = 45^{\circ}$ , as shown in **Figure 2.31**(b)? For what value of angle  $\varphi$  does the torque have the largest magnitude?



**Figure 2.31** A wrench provides grip and mechanical advantage in applying torque to turn a nut. (a) Turn counterclockwise to loosen the nut. (b) Turn clockwise to tighten the nut.

### Strategy

We adopt the frame of reference shown in **Figure 2.31**, where vectors  $\vec{\mathbf{R}}$  and  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$  lie in the *xy*-plane and the origin is at the position of the nut. The radial direction along vector  $\vec{\mathbf{R}}$  (pointing away from the origin) is the reference direction for measuring the angle  $\varphi$  because  $\vec{\mathbf{R}}$  is the first vector in the vector product  $\vec{\tau} = \vec{\mathbf{R}} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}$ . Vector  $\vec{\tau}$  must lie along the *z*-axis because this is the axis that is perpendicular to the *xy*-plane, where both  $\vec{\mathbf{R}}$  and  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$  lie. To compute the magnitude  $\tau$ , we use **Equation 2.35**. To find the direction of  $\vec{\tau}$ , we use the corkscrew right-hand rule (**Figure 2.30**).

## Solution

For the situation in (a), the corkscrew rule gives the direction of  $\vec{\mathbf{R}} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}$  in the positive direction of the *z*-axis. Physically, it means the torque vector  $\vec{\tau}$  points out of the page, perpendicular to the wrench handle. We identify F = 20.00 N and R = 0.25 m, and compute the magnitude using **Equation 2.35**:

$$\tau = \left| \overrightarrow{\mathbf{R}} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \right| = RF \sin \varphi = (0.25 \text{ m})(20.00 \text{ N}) \sin 40^\circ = 3.21 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}.$$

For the situation in (b), the corkscrew rule gives the direction of  $\vec{\mathbf{R}} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}$  in the negative direction of the *z*-axis. Physically, it means the vector  $\vec{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$  points into the page, perpendicular to the wrench handle. The magnitude of this torque is

$$\tau = \left| \overrightarrow{\mathbf{R}} \times \overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \right| = RF \sin \varphi = (0.25 \text{ m})(20.00 \text{ N}) \sin 45^\circ = 3.53 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}.$$

The torque has the largest value when  $\sin \varphi = 1$ , which happens when  $\varphi = 90^{\circ}$ . Physically, it means the wrench is most effective—giving us the best mechanical advantage—when we apply the force perpendicular to the wrench handle. For the situation in this example, this best-torque value is  $\tau_{\text{best}} = RF = (0.25 \text{ m})(20.00 \text{ N}) = 5.00 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m}$ .

## Significance

When solving mechanics problems, we often do not need to use the corkscrew rule at all, as we'll see now in the following equivalent solution. Notice that once we have identified that vector  $\vec{\mathbf{R}} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}}$  lies along the *z*-axis, we can write this vector in terms of the unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  of the *z*-axis:

$$\vec{\mathbf{R}} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}} = RF \sin \varphi \vec{\mathbf{k}}.$$

In this equation, the number that multiplies  $\mathbf{k}$  is the scalar *z*-component of the vector  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{F}$ . In the computation of this component, care must be taken that the angle  $\varphi$  is measured *counterclockwise* from

 $\vec{\mathbf{R}}$  (first vector) to  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$  (second vector). Following this principle for the angles, we obtain *RF* sin (+40°) = +3.2 N·m for the situation in (a), and we obtain *RF* sin (-45°) = -3.5 N·m for the situation in (b). In the latter case, the angle is negative because the graph in **Figure 2.31** indicates the angle is measured clockwise; but, the same result is obtained when this angle is measured counterclockwise because +(360° - 45°) = +315° and sin (+315°) = sin (-45°). In this way, we obtain the solution without

reference to the corkscrew rule. For the situation in (a), the solution is  $\vec{\mathbf{R}} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}} = +3.2 \,\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathbf{m} \,\mathbf{\hat{k}}$ ; for the situation in (b), the solution is  $\vec{\mathbf{R}} \times \vec{\mathbf{F}} = -3.5 \,\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathbf{m} \,\mathbf{\hat{k}}$ .

**2.15** Check Your Understanding For the vectors given in Figure 2.13, find the vector products  $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$  and  $\vec{C} \times \vec{F}$ .

Similar to the dot product (Equation 2.32), the cross product has the following distributive property:

$$\vec{A} \times (\vec{B} + \vec{C}) = \vec{A} \times \vec{B} + \vec{A} \times \vec{C}$$
. (2.37)

The distributive property is applied frequently when vectors are expressed in their component forms, in terms of unit vectors of Cartesian axes.

When we apply the definition of the cross product, **Equation 2.35**, to unit vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  that define the positive *x*-, *y*-, and *z*-directions in space, we find that

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{i}} = \hat{\mathbf{j}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}} = \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} = 0.$$
(2.38)

All other cross products of these three unit vectors must be vectors of unit magnitudes because  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  are orthogonal. For example, for the pair  $\hat{\mathbf{i}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{j}}$ , the magnitude is  $|\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}| = ij \sin 90^\circ = (1)(1)(1) = 1$ . The direction of the vector product  $\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}$  must be orthogonal to the *xy*-plane, which means it must be along the *z*-axis. The only unit vectors along the *z*-axis are  $-\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  or  $+\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ . By the corkscrew rule, the direction of vector  $\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}$  must be parallel to the positive *z*-axis. Therefore, the result of the multiplication  $\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}$  is identical to  $+\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ . We can repeat similar reasoning for the remaining pairs of unit vectors. The results of these multiplications are

$$\begin{cases} \hat{i} \times \hat{j} = + \hat{k}, \\ \hat{i} \times \hat{k} = + \hat{i}, \\ \hat{j} \times \hat{k} = + \hat{i}, \\ \hat{k} \times \hat{i} = + \hat{j}. \end{cases}$$
(2.39)

Notice that in **Equation 2.39**, the three unit vectors  $\hat{i}$ ,  $\hat{j}$ , and  $\hat{k}$  appear in the *cyclic order* shown in a diagram in **Figure 2.32**(a). The cyclic order means that in the product formula,  $\hat{i}$  follows  $\hat{k}$  and comes before  $\hat{j}$ , or  $\hat{k}$  follows  $\hat{j}$  and comes before  $\hat{i}$ , or  $\hat{j}$  follows  $\hat{i}$  and comes before  $\hat{k}$ . The cross product of two different unit vectors is always a third unit vector. When two unit vectors in the cross product appear in the cyclic order, the result of such a multiplication is the remaining unit vector, as illustrated in Figure 2.32(b). When unit vectors in the cross product appear in a different order, the result is a unit vector that is antiparallel to the remaining unit vector (i.e., the result is with the minus sign, as shown by the examples in Figure 2.32(c) and Figure 2.32(d). In practice, when the task is to find cross products of vectors that are given in vector component form, this rule for the cross-multiplication of unit vectors is very useful.



**Figure 2.32** (a) The diagram of the cyclic order of the unit vectors of the axes. (b) The only cross products where the unit vectors appear in the cyclic order. These products have the positive sign. (c, d) Two examples of cross products where the unit vectors do not appear in the cyclic order. These products have the negative sign.

Suppose we want to find the cross product  $\vec{A} \times \vec{B}$  for vectors  $\vec{A} = A_x \hat{i} + A_y \hat{j} + A_z \hat{k}$  and  $\vec{B} = B_x \hat{i} + B_y \hat{j} + B_z \hat{k}$ . We can use the distributive property (**Equation 2.37**), the anticommutative property (**Equation 2.36**), and the results in **Equation 2.38** and **Equation 2.39** for unit vectors to perform the following algebra:

$$\vec{\mathbf{A}} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}} = (A_x \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} + A_y \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} + A_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}}) \times (B_x \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} + B_y \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} + B_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}})$$

$$= A_x \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} \times (B_x \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} + B_y \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} + B_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}}) + A_y \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} \times (B_x \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} + B_y \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} + B_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}}) + A_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times (B_x \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} + B_y \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} + B_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}})$$

$$= A_x B_x \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{i}} + A_x B_y \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}} + A_x B_z \, \hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$+ A_y B_x \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} \times \hat{\mathbf{i}} + A_y B_y \, \hat{\mathbf{j}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}} + A_z B_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$+ A_z B_x \, \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{i}} + A_z B_y \, \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}} + A_z B_z \, \hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$= A_x B_x(0) + A_x B_y(+ \, \hat{\mathbf{k}}) + A_x B_z(- \, \hat{\mathbf{j}})$$

$$+ A_y B_x(- \, \hat{\mathbf{k}}) + A_y B_y(0) + A_y B_z(+ \, \hat{\mathbf{i}})$$

$$+ A_z B_x(+ \, \hat{\mathbf{j}}) + A_z B_y(- \, \hat{\mathbf{i}}) + A_z B_z(0).$$

When performing algebraic operations involving the cross product, be very careful about keeping the correct order of multiplication because the cross product is anticommutative. The last two steps that we still have to do to complete our task are, first, grouping the terms that contain a common unit vector and, second, factoring. In this way we obtain the following very useful expression for the computation of the cross product:

$$\vec{\mathbf{C}} = \vec{\mathbf{A}} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}} = (A_y B_z - A_z B_y) \mathbf{\hat{i}} + (A_z B_x - A_x B_z) \mathbf{\hat{j}} + (A_x B_y - A_y B_x) \mathbf{\hat{k}}.$$
(2.40)

In this expression, the scalar components of the cross-product vector are

$$\begin{cases} C_x = A_y B_z - A_z B_y, \\ C_y = A_z B_x - A_x B_z, \\ C_z = A_x B_y - A_y B_x. \end{cases}$$
(2.41)

When finding the cross product, in practice, we can use either **Equation 2.35** or **Equation 2.40**, depending on which one of them seems to be less complex computationally. They both lead to the same final result. One way to make sure if the final result is correct is to use them both.

## Example 2.19

#### A Particle in a Magnetic Field

When moving in a magnetic field, some particles may experience a magnetic force. Without going into details—a detailed study of magnetic phenomena comes in later chapters—let's acknowledge that the magnetic field  $\vec{B}$  is a vector, the magnetic force  $\vec{F}$  is a vector, and the velocity  $\vec{u}$  of the particle is a vector. The magnetic force vector is proportional to the vector product of the velocity vector with the magnetic field vector, which we express as  $\vec{F} = \zeta \vec{u} \times \vec{B}$ . In this equation, a constant  $\zeta$  takes care of the consistency in physical units, so we can omit physical units on vectors  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{B}$ . In this example, let's assume the constant  $\zeta$  is positive.

A particle moving in space with velocity vector  $\vec{\mathbf{u}} = -5.0 \hat{\mathbf{i}} - 2.0 \hat{\mathbf{j}} + 3.5 \hat{\mathbf{k}}$  enters a region with a magnetic field and experiences a magnetic force. Find the magnetic force  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$  on this particle at the entry point to the region where the magnetic field vector is (a)  $\vec{\mathbf{B}} = 7.2 \hat{\mathbf{i}} - \hat{\mathbf{j}} - 2.4 \hat{\mathbf{k}}$  and (b)  $\vec{\mathbf{B}} = 4.5 \hat{\mathbf{k}}$ . In each case, find magnitude *F* of the magnetic force and angle  $\theta$  the force vector  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$  makes with the given magnetic field vector  $\vec{\mathbf{B}}$ .

#### Strategy

First, we want to find the vector product  $\vec{\mathbf{u}} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}}$ , because then we can determine the magnetic force using  $\vec{\mathbf{F}} = \zeta \vec{\mathbf{u}} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}}$ . Magnitude *F* can be found either by using components,  $F = \sqrt{F_x^2 + F_y^2 + F_z^2}$ , or by computing the magnitude  $|\vec{\mathbf{u}} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}}|$  directly using **Equation 2.35**. In the latter approach, we would have to find the angle between vectors  $\vec{\mathbf{u}}$  and  $\vec{\mathbf{B}}$ . When we have  $\vec{\mathbf{F}}$ , the general method for finding the direction angle  $\theta$  involves the computation of the scalar product  $\vec{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}}$  and substitution into **Equation 2.34**. To compute the vector product we can either use **Equation 2.40** or compute the product directly, whichever way is simpler.

## Solution

The components of the velocity vector are  $u_x = -5.0$ ,  $u_y = -2.0$ , and  $u_z = 3.5$ .

(a) The components of the magnetic field vector are  $B_x = 7.2$ ,  $B_y = -1.0$ , and  $B_z = -2.4$ . Substituting them into **Equation 2.41** gives the scalar components of vector  $\vec{\mathbf{F}} = \zeta \vec{\mathbf{u}} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}}$ :

$$\begin{cases} F_x = \zeta(u_y B_z - u_z B_y) = \zeta[(-2.0)(-2.4) - (3.5)(-1.0)] = 8.3\zeta \\ F_y = \zeta(u_z B_x - u_x B_z) = \zeta[(3.5)(7.2) - (-5.0)(-2.4)] = 13.2\zeta \\ F_z = \zeta(u_x B_y - u_y B_x) = \zeta[(-5.0)(-1.0) - (-2.0)(7.2)] = 19.4\zeta \end{cases}$$

Thus, the magnetic force is  $\vec{F} = \zeta(8.3 \, \hat{i} + 13.2 \, \hat{j} + 19.4 \, \hat{k})$  and its magnitude is

$$F = \sqrt{F_x^2 + F_y^2 + F_z^2} = \zeta \sqrt{(8.3)^2 + (13.2)^2 + (19.4)^2} = 24.9\zeta.$$

To compute angle  $\,\theta$  , we may need to find the magnitude of the magnetic field vector,

$$B = \sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2 + B_z^2} = \sqrt{(7.2)^2 + (-1.0)^2 + (-2.4)^2} = 7.6,$$

and the scalar product  $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{B}$ :

$$\vec{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = F_x B_x + F_y B_y + F_z B_z = (8.3\zeta)(7.2) + (13.2\zeta)(-1.0) + (19.4\zeta)(-2.4) = 0.$$

Now, substituting into **Equation 2.34** gives angle  $\theta$ :

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\overrightarrow{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathbf{B}}}{FB} = \frac{0}{(18.2\zeta)(7.6)} = 0 \implies \theta = 90^{\circ}.$$

Hence, the magnetic force vector is perpendicular to the magnetic field vector. (We could have saved some time if we had computed the scalar product earlier.)

(b) Because vector  $\vec{\mathbf{B}} = 4.5 \hat{\mathbf{k}}$  has only one component, we can perform the algebra quickly and find the vector product directly:

$$\vec{\mathbf{F}} = \zeta \vec{\mathbf{u}} \times \vec{\mathbf{B}} = \zeta(-5.0\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} - 2.0\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} + 3.5\,\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \times (4.5\,\hat{\mathbf{k}})$$
$$= \zeta[(-5.0)(4.5)\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} + (-2.0)(4.5)\,\hat{\mathbf{j}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}} + (3.5)(4.5)\,\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}]$$
$$= \zeta[-22.5(-\hat{\mathbf{j}}) - 9.0(+\hat{\mathbf{i}}) + 0] = \zeta(-9.0\,\hat{\mathbf{i}} + 22.5\,\hat{\mathbf{j}}).$$

The magnitude of the magnetic force is

$$F = \sqrt{F_x^2 + F_y^2 + F_z^2} = \zeta \sqrt{(-9.0)^2 + (22.5)^2 + (0.0)^2} = 24.2\zeta.$$

Because the scalar product is

$$\vec{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{B}} = F_x B_x + F_y B_y + F_z B_z = (-9.0\zeta)(0) + (22.5\zeta)(0) + (0)(4.5) = 0,$$

the magnetic force vector  $\vec{F}$  is perpendicular to the magnetic field vector  $\vec{B}$ .

## Significance

Even without actually computing the scalar product, we can predict that the magnetic force vector must always be perpendicular to the magnetic field vector because of the way this vector is constructed. Namely, the magnetic force vector is the vector product  $\vec{F} = \zeta \vec{u} \times \vec{B}$  and, by the definition of the vector product (see **Figure 2.29**), vector  $\vec{F}$  must be perpendicular to both vectors  $\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{B}$ .

```
2.16 Check Your Understanding Given two vectors \vec{A} = -\hat{i} + \hat{j} and \vec{B} = 3\hat{i} - \hat{j}, find (a) \vec{A} \times \vec{B}, (b) |\vec{A} \times \vec{B}|, (c) the angle between \vec{A} and \vec{B}, and (d) the angle between \vec{A} \times \vec{B} and vector \vec{C} = \hat{i} + \hat{k}.
```

In conclusion to this section, we want to stress that "dot product" and "cross product" are entirely different mathematical objects that have different meanings. The dot product is a scalar; the cross product is a vector. Later chapters use the terms *dot product* and *scalar product* interchangeably. Similarly, the terms *cross product* and *vector product* are used interchangeably.